1	JEFFREY A. SMITH, ESQ.	
2	Colorado Bar No. 31038	
2	Motion for Permission to Practice	
3	Pro Hac Vice and Designation of	
	Local Counsel Pursuant to	
4	LR IA 10-2 Pending	
5	SMITH BYERS LLC	
	5480 Valmont Rd., Suite #200	
6	Boulder, Colorado 80301	
7	Telephone: (303) 541-1565 Facsimile: (303) 223-2819	
	E-Mail: jeff@smithbyerslaw.com	
8	E-Man. <u>Jejj & Smitho yer staw. com</u>	
9	ADAM H. SPRINGEL, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 7187	
10	MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ.	
11	Nevada Bar No. 11902	
11	SPRINGEL & FINK LLP	
12	10655 Park Run Drive, Suite 275	
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	
13	Telephone: (702) 804-0706	
14	Facsimile: (702) 804-0798	
1.5	E-Mail: <u>aspringel@springelfink.com</u>	
15	marata@springelfink.com	
16	Attorneys for Defendant	
17	INFONOW CORPORATION dba	
1 /	CHANNELINSIGHT	
18	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
19	NAHUM RAND,	Case No.: 2:15-cv-01510-RFB-GWF
20	Plaintiff,	Case No.: 2.13-ev-01310-Ki B-G WI
21	Traintiff,	
21	vs.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR
22	MICHAEL PATSALOS-FOX; PAUL	DEFENDANTS MICHAEL PATSALOS- FOX, MICHAEL PATTERSON AND
22	BARTLETT; MICHAEL PATTERSON; TIM	VEDANTA CAPITAL, LP TO RESPOND
23	CONNOR; RHO VENTURES; VEDANTA	TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED
24	CAPITAL LP; SEQUEL VENTURE	COMPLAINT [1-1]
25	PARTNERS; INFONOW CORPORATION dba	(Second Request)
25	CHANNELINSIGHT; DOES I through X,	• /
26	inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I	
27	through X, inclusive,	
27	Defendants.	
28		

{N0150173;1} -1-

///

///

///

Defendant INFONOW CORPORATION dba CHANNELINSIGHT ("InfoNow") and Plaintiff NAHUM RAND, by and through their counsel of records, hereby stipulate to allow Defendants Michael Patsalos-Fox, Michael Patterson and Vedanta Capital, LP (collectively, "Defendants") an extension of time to file their responses to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint [Dkt. 1-1]. In furtherance of the Stipulation, Defendants state as follows:

- Defendants' response to the First Amended Complaint is due on Tuesday, August 25, 2015.
- 2. On August 20, 2015, Defendants filed their First Stipulated Extension of Time to Respond to the First Amended Compliant [Dkt. 7] ("First Extension"), which the Court approved on August 24, 2015 [Dkt. 9].
- 3. One of the main reasons for the First Extension was to allow the parties to address personal jurisdiction issues and avoid burdening the Court and the parties with unnecessary motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction.
- 4. To resolve the personal jurisdiction issue, the parties agreed to transfer this case to the District of Colorado, where Defendant would be subject to personal jurisdiction. On August 31, 2015, the parties filed their Joint Stipulation and Order to Transfer Case to the District of Colorado Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404 [Dkt. 10] ("Joint Stipulation to Transfer Venue to Colorado").
- 5. The Court has not yet taken action on the Joint Stipulation to Transfer Venue to Colorado. The parties, therefore, have stipulated to a second extension of time to allow Defendants fourteen days following the Court's action on the Joint Stipulation to Transfer Venue to Colorado to respond to the First Amended Complaint.
 - 6. Plaintiff's counsel, Erik W. Fox, has stipulated to the requested extension of time.
 - 7. This is the <u>second</u> extension of time sought by Defendants.

Case 2:15-cv-01510-RFB-GWF Document 14 Filed 09/10/15 Page 3 of 5

8. The requested extension will not prejudice the Court since the extension will avoid requiring Defendants to file unnecessary motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. On the other hand, Defendants would be severely prejudiced if required to spend thousands of dollars on motions that are moot in light of the Joint Stipulation to Transfer Venue to Colorado. Plaintiff also would be prejudiced in having to spend equal amounts of money and time in responding to moot motions.¹ /// /// /// Defendants also have Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6) motions to dismiss and they would suffer additional

{N0150173;1} -3-

Defendants also have Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6) motions to dismiss and they would suffer additional prejudice if they have to file those motions prior to the Court acting on the Joint Stipulation to Transfer Venue to Colorado because, if the Court were to deny the requested transfer, Defendants would be in a situation where they could be deemed to have waived a motion to dismiss under Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(2).

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that the Court accept this Stipulation and extend the time for Defendants to respond to the First Amended Complaint to fourteen (14) days after the Court takes action on the Joint Stipulation to Transfer Venue to Colorado. DATED this 9th day of September, 2015. /s/ Jeffrey A. Smith /s/ Adam H. Springel ADAM H. SPRINGEL, ESQ. JEFFREY A. SMITH, ESQ. Colorado Bar No. 31038 Nevada Bar No. 7187 Motion for Permission to MICHAEL A. ARATA, ESQ. Practice Nevada Bar No. 11902 Pro Hac Vice and Designation of **SPRINGEL & FINK LLP** Local Counsel Pursuant to 10655 Park Run Drive, Ste. 275 LR IA 10-2 Pending Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 Telephone: (702) 804-0706 **SMITH BYERS LLC** 5480 Valmont Rd., Suite #200 E-Mail: aspringel@springelfink.com Boulder, Colorado 80301 marata@springelfink.com Telephone: (303) 541-1565 Attorneys for Defendant INFONOW E-Mail: *jeff@smithbyerslaw.com* CORPORATION dba CHANNELINSIGHT Attorney for Defendant INFONOW CORPORATION dba **CHANNELINSIGHT** /s/ Erik W. Fox ERIK W. FOX, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8804 MARQUIS AURBACH **COFFING** 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 E-Mail: efox@maclaw.com Attorney for Plaintiff IT IS SO ORDERED. September 10, 2015 United States Magistrate Judge

-4-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 Pursuant to Local Rule 5 of this Court, I certify that I am an employee of Springel & Fink 3 LLP and that on this 9th day of September, 2015, I caused a correct copy of the foregoing 4 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS MICHAEL PATSALOS-FOX. MICHAEL PATTERSON AND VEDANTA CAPITAL, LP TO RESPOND TO 5 PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT [1-1] (Second Request) to be served via 6 CM/ECF to: 7 8 Erik W. Fox, Esq. efox@maclaw.com 9 MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING 10001 Park Run Drive 10 Las Vegas, NV 89145 11 Telephone (702) 382-0711 Facsimile (702) 382-5816 12 Attorney for Plaintiff 13 /s/ Erin L. Wood An employee of Springel & Fink LLP 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

{N0150173;1} -5-